1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ALLEN RUBY (SBN 47109) LAW OFFICES OF ALLEN RUBY 125 South Market Street #1001 San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 998-8500 ext. 204 Facsimile: (408) 998-8503 CRISTINA C. ARGUEDAS (SBN 87787) TED W. CASSMAN (SBN 98932) ARGUEDAS, CASSMAN & HEADLEY, LLF 803 Hearst Avenue Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 845-3000 Facsimile: (510) 845-3003 DENNIS P. RIORDAN (SBN 69320) DONALD M. HORGAN (SBN 121547) RIORDAN & HORGAN 523 Octavia Street San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 431-3472 Facsimile: (415) 552-2703 Attorneys for Defendant BARRY LAMAR BONDS	
13 14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
	SANTINANCI	ISCO DIVISION
16		
17	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. CR 07 0732 SI
17 18	Plaintiff,)) DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR
17 18 19	Plaintiff, vs.)) DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR) LEAVE TO FILE SEALED,) OVERSIZED REPLY MEMORANDUM
17 18 19 20	Plaintiff, vs. BARRY LAMAR BONDS,)) DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR) LEAVE TO FILE SEALED,
17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiff, vs.	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SEALED, OVERSIZED REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION IN LIMINE
17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, vs. BARRY LAMAR BONDS, Defendant.	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SEALED, OVERSIZED REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION IN LIMINE
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, vs. BARRY LAMAR BONDS, Defendant.	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SEALED, OVERSIZED REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE his Court for an order granting him leave to file
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, vs. BARRY LAMAR BONDS, Defendant. Defendant Barry Bonds hereby moves t	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SEALED, OVERSIZED REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE his Court for an order granting him leave to file contains 28 pages, in support of his pending
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, vs. BARRY LAMAR BONDS, Defendant. Defendant Barry Bonds hereby moves the secompanying reply memorandum, which contains the secompanying reply memorandum and	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SEALED, OVERSIZED REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE his Court for an order granting him leave to file contains 28 pages, in support of his pending
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, vs. BARRY LAMAR BONDS, Defendant. Defendant Barry Bonds hereby moves this accompanying reply memorandum, which companies the motion in limine scheduled for hearing on Thurst Courtroom of the Honorable Susan Illston.	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SEALED, OVERSIZED REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE his Court for an order granting him leave to file contains 28 pages, in support of his pending
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, vs. BARRY LAMAR BONDS, Defendant. Defendant Barry Bonds hereby moves this accompanying reply memorandum, which companies the motion in limine scheduled for hearing on Thurst Courtroom of the Honorable Susan Illston.	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SEALED, OVERSIZED REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE his Court for an order granting him leave to file contains 28 pages, in support of his pending rsday, February 5, 2009 at 10:30 a.m. in the

- 10
- 12
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 23

24

22

- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- 2. Accompanying this motion is defendant's proposed reply memorandum in support of his petition motion in limine to exclude evidence filed on January 15, 2009. The proposed memorandum contains 28 pages and thus exceeds the 15 page limit normally applied to such memoranda under Crimimal Local Rules 47-2(d) and Civil Local Rule 7-4(b).
- 3. The reply memorandum is necessitated by the pleadings that preceded it. Under the Court's scheduling order, the parties were first to exchange documents that would include an offer of proof by the government as to contested evidentiary issues. The government provided the defendant with a four page letter in that regard containing no information on certain key questions. As merely one example, while the government's letter indicated that the it would tender certain hearsay statements as those of co-conspirators, it said nothing about the nature of the conspiracy the statements purportedly furthered.
- 4. On January 15, 2009, the defense then filed with the Court a 26-page motion in limine objecting to evidence that it anticipated would be offered by the government at trial and to the theories upon which that evidence would be proffered, to the extent the government's letter permitted defense counsel to discern what that evidence and those theories would be.
- 5. On January 29, 2009, the government responded with a 53-page opposition that, needless to say, contained a wealth of information and argument not previously provided to the defense.
- 6. Defense counsel submit that it will facilitate the Court's decision-making if it receives defendant's accompanying reply to these new matters in writing and in advance of the hearing scheduled for Thursday, February 5th.
- 7. Defendant recognizes that today, the Court ordered the pleadings in this matter unsealed. Because that order does not take effect until this Wednesday, February 4th, defendant requests that the proposed reply and any supporting exhibits be placed under seal until that time.
 - //
 - //
 - //

8 For the foregoing reasons, I respectfully request that the Court permit the filing under seal of defendant Bonds's proposed reply memorandum and supporting exhibits.

Executed this 2nd day of February, 2009, at San Francisco, California.



/s/ Dennis P. Riordan Dennis P. Riordan